Application No.: 10/542,714 Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Art Unit: 3682 Attorney Docket No.: 052826

## **AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached replacement sheets of drawings include changes to Figs.8 and 9.

Application No.: 10/542,714 Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111
Art Unit: 3682 Attorney Docket No.: 052826

REMARKS

Claims 1-3 and 6 are currently pending. Claims 1-3 are amended. Claims 4 and 5 are

canceled.

Claim Objections and Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

The claims were objected to because of various typographical and grammatical errors,

and rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph for various ambiguities. The claims were

amended above, rendering moot some of these rejections and objections.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Tsai, (USP

5,245,263). However, **Tsai** does not teach or suggest all the features recited in the present

claimed invention. For instance, Tsai does not disclose:

For claims 1 and 2:

"wherein the small gear is arranged within an angular range from the rotational center of

the large gear, said angular range being ±35 degrees from an imaginary reference plane, and

wherein said imaginary reference plane is defined as a plane parallel to a lower arm

rotational plane, orthogonal to the front/rear shaft, and including a rotational axis of the large

gear."

For claim 3:

"wherein the small gear is arranged within an angular range from the rotational center of

the large gear, said angular range being ±35 degrees from the imaginary reference plane, and

-9-

Application No.: 10/542,714 Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Art Unit: 3682 Attorney Docket No.: 052826

wherein said imaginary reference plane is defined as a plane parallel to a rotating barrel

portion rotational plane, orthogonal to the rotating shaft, and including a rotational axis of the

large gear."

The claimed angular range is described, for example, by the angle  $\beta$  shown in Fig. 7(b),

and in the specification (bottom of page 9 to top of page 10; bottom of page 13 to top of page 14;

and middle of page 16). For claims 1 and 2, the imaginary reference plane is shown, for

example, in a marked up version of Fig. 3 (attached Exhibit 1). For claim 3, the imaginary

reference plane is shown, for example, in a marked up version of Fig. 1 (attached Exhibit 2).

The gears of Tsai identified in the Office Action do not meet these claimed features. For

instance, with regard to claim 1, the Office Action referred to a large gear (to the right of 5), a

small gear (at 2), and a lower arm (2<sup>nd</sup> link) of **Tsai**. However, the small gear (at 2) is not within

±35 degrees from an imaginary reference plane as defined in claim 1. The claimed imaginary

reference plane cannot be accommodated within the designated corresponding structures of **Tsai**.

An imaginary reference plane being parallel to the rotational plane of the lower arm (2<sup>nd</sup> link) and

orthogonal to the front/rear shaft does not include the rotational axis of the large gear (to the right

of 5). In fact, such an imaginary reference plane meeting the first two conditions mentioned

above would be orthogonal to the rotational axis of the large gear (to the right of 5). The small

gear (at 2) does not exist within the claimed range within ±35 degrees from an imaginary

reference plane as defined in claim 1.

With regard to claim 2, the Office Action referred a rotating barrel portion (1st arm link),

a small gear (to the right of 8), and a large gear (above 1) fixed in the rotating barrel portion

- 10 -

Application No.: 10/542,714 Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111
Art Unit: 3682 Attorney Docket No.: 052826

(extending inside the 1<sup>st</sup> arm link) of **Tsai**. Although the Office Action referred to "a lower arm one end of which is axially supported by the rotating barrel portion," the Office Action did not identify any specific corresponding structure of **Tsai**. It is assumed that because the Office Action considered the robot base to be Base Link (O) of **Tsai**, and the rotating barrel portion to be the 1<sup>st</sup> arm link, then the alleged lower arm might be the remaining 2<sup>nd</sup> arm link. That being the case, **Tsai** does not disclose or fairly suggest all the features of claim 2, for at least the same reasons set forth above regarding claim 1, *i.e.*, the claimed imaginary reference plane cannot be accommodated from the alleged corresponding structures of **Tsai** and the small gear (to the right of 8) does not exist within the claimed range within ±35 degrees from an imaginary reference plane as defined in claim 2.

With regard to claim 3, the Office Action referred to a rotating barrel portion (link 1), a large gear (left of label "4<sup>th</sup> input"), a small gear (gear 5), and a lower arm (link 2), with reference to Fig. 5 of **Tsai**. Again, the claimed imaginary reference plane cannot be accommodated within the designated corresponding structures of **Tsai**. An imaginary reference plane being parallel to the rotational plane of the rotating barrel portion (link 1) and orthogonal to the rotating shaft of the rotating barrel portion does not include the rotational axis of the large gear (left of label "4<sup>th</sup> input"). In fact, such an imaginary reference plane meeting the first two conditions mentioned above would be orthogonal to the rotational axis of the large gear (left of label "4<sup>th</sup> input"). The small gear (gear 5) does not exist within the claimed range within ±35 degrees from an imaginary reference plane as defined in claim 3.

Application No.: 10/542,714 Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Art Unit: 3682 Attorney Docket No.: 052826

For at least these reasons, the present claimed invention patentably distinguishes over the prior art. In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants submit that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants request

such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

John P. Kong

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 40,054

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

JPK/af

Attachments: Replacement Sheets of Figures 8 and 9

Exhibit 1 (marked up version of Fig. 3)

Exhibit 2 (marked up version of Fig. 1)